

## Supporting Information

### Chiral $\alpha,\beta$ -Dialkoxy- and $\alpha$ -Alkoxy- $\beta$ -aminostannanes: Preparation and Copper Mediated Cross-Coupling

Suchismita Mohapatra,<sup>†</sup> A. Bandyopadhyay,<sup>†</sup> D. K. Barma,<sup>†</sup> Jorge H. Capdevila,<sup>‡</sup> and J. R. Falck<sup>\*,†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>*Departments of Biochemistry and Pharmacology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas 75390-9038, and* <sup>‡</sup>*Departments of Medicine and Biochemistry, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN 37232 USA*

#### Preparation of $\alpha,\beta$ -Dialkoxy- and $\alpha$ -Alkoxy- $\beta$ -aminostannanes: General Procedure

*n*-BuI (3.8 mL, 9.61 mmol, 2.5 M in THF) was added dropwise to a 0°C solution of (*i*-Pr)<sub>2</sub>NH (1.34 mL, 9.61 mmol) in anhydrous THF (3 mL) under an argon atmosphere. After 0.5 h, *n*-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH (2.79 mL, 9.61 mmol) was added neat over 10 min followed after another 0.5 h by dry ZnBr<sub>2</sub> (1.08g, 4.8 mmol) in THF (2 mL). The reaction mixture was maintained at 0°C for 0.5 h, then cooled to -78°C, and a prochiral aldehyde (3.84 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added. After 4 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aq. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 8 mL). The combined ethereal extracts were washed with water, brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash SiO<sub>2</sub> chromatography to give the  $\alpha$ -hydroxyalkylstannane adduct in 68-77% yield (Table 1). Adducts are somewhat labile and were generally used immediately in the next reaction.

#### Cross-Coupling: General Procedure

To a stirring, room temperature solution of  $\alpha,\beta$ -dialkoxystannane or  $\alpha$ -alkoxy- $\beta$ -aminostannane (1.0 mmol) and CuI (7-10 mole %) in anhydrous THF (5 mL) was added an organic halide (1.1 mmol) in THF (1 mL) under an argon atmosphere. Larger quantities of CuI encouraged  $\beta$ -elimination. The resulting mixture was heated at 50°C for 3-4 h. After cooling, Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) was added and the mixture was filtered. Evaporation of the filtrate under reduced pressure and SiO<sub>2</sub> chromatographic purification of the residue gave the cross-coupled adduct in the indicated yield (Table 2).

#### Adduct 3

Addition of Zn(*n*-Bu<sub>3</sub>Sn)<sub>2</sub> to (*R*)-2,3-isopropylidene-**D**-glyceradehyde according to the general procedure gave adduct **3** in the indicated yield. TLC (SiO<sub>2</sub>): EtOAc/hexane (1:9), R<sub>f</sub> = 0.25; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  0.87-0.96 (m, 15H), 1.28-1.35 (m, 6H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.48-1.54 (m, 6H), 1.99-2.01 (m, 1H), 3.83-3.88 (m, 1H), 3.92-3.97 (m, 1H), 4.19-4.21 (m, 1H), 4.30-4.36 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  9.14, 13.88, 25.86, 26.58, 27.62, 29.29, 65.37, 65.59, 81.21, 108.92; MS *m/z* 422 (M<sup>+</sup>); [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>23</sup> = 11.9° (*c* 1.65, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

Adduct **3** (0.025 g, 0.008 mmol) was immediately converted to the Mosher's ester using S-(-)Mosher's acid (0.023 g, 0.009 mmol), DCC (0.02 g, 0.009 mmol), and a catalytic amount of DMAP in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mL) at room temperature for 24 h. The solvent

was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography to afford the Mosher's ester derivative of **3** (98%, ee > 98%). TLC (SiO<sub>2</sub>): EtOAc/hexane (1:9), R<sub>f</sub> = 0.66; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 0.86-0.95 (m, 15H), 1.26-1.48 (m, 18H), 3.50 (s, 3H), 3.61 (t, 1H, J = 7.9 Hz), 3.96 (t, 1H, J = 7.9 Hz), 4.33 (q, 1H, J = 6.1 Hz), 5.23 (d, 1H, J = 5.8 Hz), 7.40-7.42 (m, 3H), 7.51-7.52 (m, 2H).

#### Adduct 5

Addition of Zn(*n*-Bu<sub>3</sub>Sn)<sub>2</sub> to (*R*)-2,3-*O*-cyclohexylidene-**D**-glyceraldehyde according to the general procedure gave adduct **5** in the indicated yield. TLC (SiO<sub>2</sub>): EtOAc/hexane (3:7), R<sub>f</sub> = 0.60; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 0.87-0.94 (m, 15H), 1.29-1.63 (m, 22H), 2.01-2.12 (m, 1H), 3.84 (t, 1H, J = 7.4 Hz), 3.94 (t, 1H, J = 7.35 Hz), 4.17-4.25 (m, 1H), 4.30-4.36 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) δ 9.14, 13.88, 23.99, 24.88, 25.86, 26.89, 27.62, 28.46, 29.29, 63.66, 64.12, 65.59, 108.92; MS *m/z* 462 (M<sup>+</sup>).

As described above for adduct **3**, adduct **5** was immediately converted to the Mosher's ester derivative (95%, ee > 98%); TLC (SiO<sub>2</sub>): EtOAc/hexane (1:9), R<sub>f</sub> = 0.45; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 0.86-0.97 (m, 15H), 1.24-1.60 (m, 22H), 3.50 (s, 3H), 3.56 (t, 1H, J = 7.3 Hz), 3.94-3.99 (m, 1H), 4.34 (q, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz), 5.15-5.20 (m, 1H), 7.37-7.42 (m, 3H), 7.50-7.55 (m, 2H).

#### Adduct 7

Addition of Zn(*n*-Bu<sub>3</sub>Sn)<sub>2</sub> to (*S*)-*N*-*tert*-butoxycarbonyl **L**-serinal acetone according to the general procedure gave adduct **7** in the indicated yield. TLC (SiO<sub>2</sub>): EtOAc/hexane (1:9), R<sub>f</sub> = 0.29; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 0.87-1.05 (m, 15H), 1.15-1.60 (m, 27H), 1.99-2.02 (m, 1H), 3.84-3.89 (m, 1H), 3.93-3.99 (m, 1H), 4.20-4.22 (m, 1H), 4.30-4.35 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) δ 10.17, 13.92, 23.99, 27.34, 27.67, 28.05, 28.48, 30.84, 63.70, 64.17, 64.93, 109.5, 199.72; MS *m/z* 521 (M<sup>+</sup>).

As described above for adduct **3**, adduct **7** was immediately converted to the Mosher's ester derivative (98%, ee > 98%); TLC (SiO<sub>2</sub>): EtOAc/hexane (1:9), R<sub>f</sub> = 0.65; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 60°C, 400 MHz) δ 0.92-1.12 (m, 15H), 1.32-1.70 (m, 27H), 3.53 (s, 3H), 3.97-4.01 (m, 2H), 4.38-4.40 (m, 1H), 5.82-5.85 (m, 1H), 6.96-7.05 (m, 2H), 7.35-7.37 (m, 1H), 7.61-7.80 (m, 2H).

#### Adduct 9

Addition of Zn(*n*-Bu<sub>3</sub>Sn)<sub>2</sub> to *N*-(*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)-**D**-prolinal according to the general procedure gave adduct **9** in the indicated yield. TLC (SiO<sub>2</sub>): EtOAc/hexane (3:7), R<sub>f</sub> = 0.57; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ 0.81-0.95 (m, 15H), 1.21-1.60 (m, 21H), 1.65-1.85 (m, 4H), 1.98-2.10 (m, 1H), 3.19-3.27 (m, 1H), 3.48-3.61 (m, 1H), 4.10-4.12 (m, 1H), 4.98-5.02 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) δ 9.13, 13.28, 23.6, 25.66, 26.44, 28.4, 28.50, 47.19, 60.05, 80.10, 109.02, 156.4; MS *m/z* 491 (M<sup>+</sup>).

As described above for adduct **3**, adduct **9** was immediately converted to the Mosher's ester derivative (98%, ee > 95%); TLC (SiO<sub>2</sub>): EtOAc/hexane (1:4), R<sub>f</sub> = 0.65; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 0.92-1.11 (m, 15H), 1.34-1.42 (m, 6H), 1.49 (s, 9H), 1.60-1.92 (m, 10H), 2.95-3.01 (m, 1H), 3.25-3.40 (m, 1H), 3.46 (s, 3H), 4.05-4.10 (m, 1H), 6.12 (d, 1H, J = 2.1 Hz), 7.08-7.24 (m, 3H), 7.69 (d, 2H, J = 7.6 Hz).

#### Adduct 11

To a stirring, 0 °C solution of commercial ethyl (R)-2-hydroxy-4-phenylbutyrate (1 g, 4.8 mmol) in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) under an argon atmosphere was added diisopropylethylamine (2.5 mL, 14.4 mmol) followed by MOMCl (0.73 mL, 9.6 mmol). After 24 h at rt, the reaction mixture was quenched with water, extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 × 8 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with water, brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash SiO<sub>2</sub> chromatography to give the corresponding MOM ether (95%). TLC (SiO<sub>2</sub>): EtOAc/hexane (1:4), R<sub>f</sub> = 0.53; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ 1.30 (t, 3H, J = 6.8 Hz), 2.05-2.19 (m, 2H), 2.61-2.73 (m, 2H), 3.42 (s, 3H), 4.09-4.24 (m, 3H), 4.75 (q, 2H, J = 6.7 Hz), 7.21-7.42 (m, 5H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) δ 15.46, 30.63, 58.20, 61.08, 75.24, 95.56, 126.37, 128.44, 128.56, 140.30, 173.65.

The above MOM protected ester was reduced to the aldehyde (82%) according to literature method.<sup>1</sup> TLC (SiO<sub>2</sub>): EtOAc/hexane (3:7), R<sub>f</sub> = 0.35; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ 1.98-2.00 (m, 2H), 2.74-2.77 (m, 2H), 3.44 (s, 3H), 3.88-3.91 (m, 1H), 4.68-4.77 (m, 2H), 7.21-7.42 (m, 5H), 9.60 (d, 1H, J = 2.0 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) δ 30.12, 58.24, 66.70, 71.43, 95.25, 125.64, 127.77, 127.98, 140.1, 201.99.

The above aldehyde was converted to adduct **11** according to the general procedure in the indicated yield. TLC (SiO<sub>2</sub>): EtOAc/hexane (1:4), R<sub>f</sub> = 0.36; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ 0.85-0.94 (m, 15H), 1.25-1.34 (m, 6H), 1.44-1.51 (m, 6H), 1.85-2.00 (m, 2H), 2.60-2.80 (m, 2H), 3.42 (s, 3H), 3.75-3.80 (m, 1H), 4.08 (dd, 1H, J = 1.9, 6.3 Hz), 4.60 (dd, 1H, J = 1.95, 6.3 Hz), 4.77 (dd, 1H, J = 2.0, 6.4 Hz), 7.21-7.42 (m, 5H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) δ 9.10, 12.98, 26.60, 32.20, 33.35, 57.99, 68.25, 71.12, 99.10, 108.56, 126.44, 127.30, 128.21, 141.26; MS m/z 500 (M<sup>+</sup>).

As described above for adduct **3**, adduct **11** was immediately converted to the Mosher's ester derivative (95%, ee > 98%). TLC (SiO<sub>2</sub>): EtOAc/hexane (1:4), R<sub>f</sub> = 0.65; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 0.80-0.87 (m, 15H), 1.20-1.48 (m, 12H), 1.62-1.70 (m, 1H), 1.96-2.03 (m, 1H), 2.63-2.70 (m, 1H), 2.79-2.87 (m, 1H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 3.58 (s, 3H), 3.83-3.87 (m, 1H), 4.57 (d, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz), 4.72 (d, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz), 5.50 (d, 1H, J = 1.5 Hz), 7.19 (t, 3H, J = 6.5 Hz), 7.24-7.38 (m, 3H), 7.38-7.42 (m, 2H), 7.58-7.63 (m, 2H).

### Adduct 13

Commercial ethyl (R)-2-hydroxy-4-phenylbutyrate was converted to the corresponding MEM ether (87%) using MEMCl as described above. TLC (SiO<sub>2</sub>): EtOAc/hexane (3:7), R<sub>f</sub> = 0.22; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ 1.27 (t, 3H, J = 7.3 Hz), 2.22-2.34 (m, 2H), 2.68-2.82 (m, 2H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 3.52-3.56 (m, 2H), 3.68-3.77 (m, 2H), 4.11-4.22 (m, 3H), 4.77-4.88 (m, 2H), 7.17-7.30 (m, 5H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) δ 14.44, 31.63, 34.75, 59.20, 61.08, 67.78, 71.87, 75.28, 95.46, 126.27, 128.64, 128.66, 141.30, 172.69.

The above MEM protected ester was reduced as reported above to the corresponding aldehyde (88%). TLC (SiO<sub>2</sub>): EtOAc/hexane (2:3), R<sub>f</sub> = 0.35; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 1.96-2.04 (m, 2H), 2.68-2.84 (m, 2H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 3.52-3.56 (m, 2H), 3.68-3.84 (m, 2H), 3.92-3.97 (m, 1H), 4.78-4.89 (m, 2H), 7.17-7.30 (m, 5H), 9.60 (d, 1H, J = 1.50 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) δ 31.15, 31.91, 59.26, 67.99, 71.84, 82.01, 96.06, 126.44, 128.67, 128.75, 141.06, 202.90.

The aldehyde above was converted to adduct **13** according to the general procedure above in the indicated yield. TLC (SiO<sub>2</sub>): EtOAc/hexane (1:4), R<sub>f</sub> = 0.30; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ 0.85-0.94 (m, 15H), 1.25-1.34 (m, 6H), 1.44-1.51 (m, 6H), 1.85-

2.00 (m, 2H), 2.60-2.80 (m, 2H), 3.42 (s, 3H), 3.75-3.80 (m, 2H), 4.08 (dd, 2H,  $J = 1.9$ , 6.3 Hz), 4.60 (dd, 2H,  $J = 1.95$ , 6.3 Hz), 4.77 (dd, 2H,  $J = 2.0$ , 6.4 Hz), 7.21-7.42 (m, 5H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 75 MHz)  $\delta$  9.12, 13.68, 25.66, 26.68, 31.00, 31.95, 59.16, 67.68, 72.14, 82.00, 97.16, 108.56, 126.54, 128.37, 128.35, 140.96; MS  $m/z$  544 ( $\text{M}^+$ ).

As described above for adduct **3**, the adduct **13** was immediately converted to the Mosher's ester derivative (97%, ee > 98%). TLC ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ): EtOAc/hexane (1:9),  $R_f = 0.43$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  0.79-0.87 (m, 15H), 1.19-1.28 (m, 6H), 1.34-1.44 (m, 6H), 1.60-1.68 (m, 1H), 1.96-2.08 (m, 1H), 2.61-2.69 (m, 1H), 2.79-2.86 (m, 1H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 3.49-3.52 (m, 2H), 3.57 (s, 3H), 3.62-3.76 (m, 2H), 3.84-3.89 (m, 1H), 4.69 (d, 1H,  $J = 7.3$  Hz), 4.83 (d, 1H,  $J = 7.3$  Hz), 5.55-5.62 (m, 1H), 7.16-7.30 (m, 5H), 7.35-7.37 (m, 3H), 7.38-7.42 (m, 2H), 7.58-7.63 (m, 2H).

### Adduct 15

Adduct **15** was prepared from the known 2-benzoyloxyaldehyde **2** according to the general procedure in the indicated yield. TLC ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ): EtOAc/hexane (1:4),  $R_f = 0.30$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 300 MHz)  $\delta$  0.78-0.97 (m, 18H), 1.21-1.52 (m, 16H), 1.68-1.85 (m, 2H), 4.08 (dd, 1H,  $J = 1.9$ , 6.3 Hz), 5.60 (m, 1H), 7.21-7.42 (m, 5H); MS  $m/z$  512 ( $\text{M}^+$ ).

As described above for adduct **3**, adduct **15** was immediately converted to the Mosher's ester derivative (95%, ee > 92%). TLC ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ): EtOAc/hexane (1:4),  $R_f = 0.63$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  0.78-0.97 (m, 18H), 1.21-1.52 (m, 16H), 1.68-1.85 (m, 2H), 3.51 (s, 3H), 4.95-5.08 (m, 1H), 5.32-5.37 (m, 1H), 7.32-7.60 (m, 8H), 8.02-8.06 (m, 2H).

### Benzoate 16

DIAD (0.07g, 0.35 mmol) in benzene (2 mL) was added to a stirring, room temperature solution of **3** (0.1 g, 0.23 mmol), TPP (0.09 g, 0.35 mmol), and benzoic acid (0.03 g, 0.23 mmol) in anhydrous benzene (3 mL) under an argon atmosphere. After 24 h, the precipitate was removed by filtration and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash  $\text{SiO}_2$  column chromatography to give **16** (95%). TLC ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ): EtOAc/hexane (1:4),  $R_f = 0.60$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  0.85-0.98 (m, 15H), 1.21-1.62 (m, 18H), 3.77 (t, 1H,  $J = 7.9$  Hz), 3.97 (t, 1H,  $J = 6.4$  Hz), 4.41-4.49 (m, 1H), 5.12-5.21 (m, 1H), 7.40-7.58 (m, 3H), 8.04 (d, 2H,  $J = 7.6$  Hz);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 75 MHz)  $\delta$  9.10, 13.44, 25.40, 25.90, 26.89, 28.99, 65.00, 63.53, 82.10, 110.58, 125.64, 127.77, 127.98, 140.1, 184.58. MS  $m/z$  526 ( $\text{M}^+$ ); Anal. calcd. for  $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{42}\text{O}_4\text{Sn}$ : C, 57.16; H, 8.06; found: C, 57.30; H, 8.29.

### Alcohol 17

A solution of **16** (0.1 g, 0.24 mmol) and  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  (4 equiv.) in anhydrous methanol (6 mL) was heated at 45°C. After 6 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, neutralized to pH 7 using 1N HCl, and extracted with  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  ( $2 \times 25$  mL). The combined ethereal extracts were washed with water, brine, dried over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by flash  $\text{SiO}_2$  column chromatography to give **17** (85%). TLC ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ): EtOAc/hexane (1:9),  $R_f = 0.20$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  0.89-0.97 (m, 15H), 1.30-1.36 (m, 6H), 1.37 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.48-1.55 (m, 6H), 1.82 (d, 1H,  $J = 6.7$  Hz), 3.75 (t, 1H,  $J = 7.30$  Hz), 3.91-3.96 (m, 2H), 4.39 (q, 1H,  $J = 6.4$  Hz);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 75 MHz)  $\delta$  9.11, 13.84, 25.82, 26.55, 27.59, 29.26, 65.36, 65.58, 81.18, 108.89; MS  $m/z$  422 ( $\text{M}^+$ ).

### Thiocarbamate 19

1,1'-Thiocarbonyldiimidazole (1.1 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of alcohol **3** (1 mmol) in dry  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (5 mL) containing DMAP (0.1 mmol) under an argon atmosphere. After 8 h, the reaction mixture was filtered through a small pad of silica gel and the filter cake was washed with EtOAc (5 mL). The combined filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in pyrrolidine (2 mL). After 2-4 h, all volatiles were removed *in vacuo* and the residue was chromatographed over silica gel affording the thiocarbamate **19** (89%). TLC ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ): EtOAc/hexane (1:4),  $R_f = 0.65$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  0.80-1.00 (m, 15H), 1.27-1.35 (m, 9H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.48-1.56 (m, 6H), 1.90-2.01 (m, 4H), 3.47-3.64 (m, 2H), 3.70-3.74 (m, 3H), 3.90-3.94 (m, 1H), 4.38-4.42 (m, 1H), 5.73-5.79 (m, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 75 MHz)  $\delta$  10.87, 13.78, 24.66, 25.20, 25.84, 26.64, 27.52, 29.10, 47.77, 52.13, 65.08, 76.65, 79.10, 108.13, 185.51; HRMS (CI,  $\text{CH}_4$ ) calcd for  $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{37}\text{NO}_3\text{SSn}$  ( $\text{M}^+ + 1\text{-Bu}$ )  $m/z$  479.1516, found 479.1512.

### DMTC 20

1,1'-Thiocarbonyldiimidazole (1.1 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of alcohol **3** (1 mmol) in dry  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (5 mL) containing DMAP (0.1 mmol) under an argon atmosphere. After 2-10 h, the reaction mixture was filtered through a small pad of silica gel and the filter cake was washed with EtOAc (5 mL). The combined filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in a 2 M THF solution of dimethylamine (4 mL). After 2-4 h, all volatiles were removed *in vacuo* and the residue was chromatographed over silica gel affording the DMTC protected alcohol **20** (93%). TLC ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ): EtOAc/hexane (1:4),  $R_f = 0.55$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  0.87 (t, 9H,  $J = 7.3$  Hz), 0.95 (t, 6H,  $J = 7.5$  Hz), 1.24-1.34 (m, 9H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 1.42-1.54 (m, 6H), 3.10 (s, 3H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 3.62-3.66 (m, 1H), 4.07-4.11 (m, 1H), 4.44-4.54 (m, 1H), 4.64-5.72 (m, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 75 MHz)  $\delta$  10.79, 13.89, 25.32, 26.79, 27.64, 29.27, 37.86, 43.09, 67.21, 79.06, 79.21, 109.29, 188.71;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = 25.75^\circ$  ( $c$  2.36,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); HRMS (CI,  $\text{CH}_4$ ) calcd for  $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{35}\text{NO}_3\text{SSn}$  ( $\text{M}^+ + 1\text{-Bu}$ )  $m/z$  453.1360, found 453.1365.

### Adduct 22

Stannane **19a** was converted to **22** according to the general cross-coupling procedure in the indicated yield (Table 2). TLC ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ): EtOAc/hexane (3:7),  $R_f = 0.51$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  1.34 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.92-2.01 (m, 4H), 2.51 (t, 2H,  $J = 6.5$  Hz), 3.43-3.58 (m, 2H), 3.72 (t, 2H,  $J = 6.7$  Hz), 3.91 (t, 1H,  $J = 6.7$  Hz), 4.01 (t, 1H,  $J = 6.7$  Hz), 4.31 (q, 1H,  $J = 6.1$  Hz), 4.95-5.10 (m, 2H), 5.67 (q, 1H,  $J = 5.4$  Hz), 5.79-5.91 (m, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 75 MHz)  $\delta$  24.70, 25.33, 25.77, 26.63, 35.23, 47.98, 52.32, 66.41, 76.34, 79.24, 109.75, 118.23, 133.47, 184.61; Anal. calcd. for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{23}\text{NO}_3\text{S}$ : C, 58.92; H, 8.12; found: C, 59.09; H, 8.30.

### Adduct 23

Stannane **19b** was converted to **23** according to the general cross-coupling procedure in the indicated yield (Table 2). TLC ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ): EtOAc/hexane (3:7),  $R_f \sim 0.40$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  0.87-1.45 (m, 15H), 1.90-2.01 (m, 4H), 2.49 (t, 2H,  $J = 5.5$  Hz), 3.40-3.52 (m, 2H), 3.70 (t, 2H,  $J = 6.5$  Hz), 3.87-3.93 (m, 1H), 4.15 (t, 1H,  $J = 6.5$  Hz),

4.22-4.44 (m, 1H), 5.13-5.35 (m, 2H), 5.63 (q, 1H,  $J = 4.4$  Hz), 5.78-5.93 (m, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 75 MHz)  $\delta$  23.80, 24.70, 25.80, 26.70, 28.3, 36.20, 48.08, 52.32, 64.78, 66.40, 76.24, 79.34, 81.1, 94.5, 108.75, 117.93, 132.40, 152.01, 184.61; Anal. calcd. for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{23}\text{NO}_3\text{S}$ : C, 58.92; H, 8.12; found: C, 59.09; H, 8.30.

#### Adduct 24

Stannane **20** was converted to **24** according to the general cross-coupling procedure in the indicated yield (Table 2).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  1.33 (s, 3H), 1.41 (s, 3H), 2.47-2.51 (m, 2H), 3.09 (s, 3H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 3.88 (dd, 1H,  $J = 6.0, 8.4$  Hz), 4.03 (dd, 1H,  $J = 6.8, 8.4$  Hz), 4.26-4.31 (m, 1H), 5.05-5.13 (m, 2H), 5.63-5.68 (m, 1H), 5.75-5.86 (m, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  25.33, 26.61, 35.16, 37.93, 43.09, 66.40, 76.31, 80.11, 109.82, 118.29, 133.40, 187.74; MS  $m/z$  259 ( $\text{M}^+$ );  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -17.56^\circ$  ( $c$  1.97,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); HRMS (CI,  $\text{CH}_4$ ) calcd for  $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{22}\text{NO}_3\text{S}$  ( $\text{M}^+ + 1$ )  $m/z$  260.1320, found 260.1322.

#### Adduct 26

Stannane **19a** was converted to **26** according to the general cross-coupling procedure in the indicated yield (Table 2).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 300 MHz)  $\delta$  1.35 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.84-1.94 (m, 4H), 2.67 (t, 2H,  $J = 7.0$  Hz), 3.48-3.56 (m, 2H), 3.64-3.74 (m, 2H), 3.91-3.96 (m, 1H), 4.03-4.09 (m, 1H), 4.33 (q, 1H  $J = 6.1$  Hz), 5.72 (q, 1H,  $J = 6.1$  Hz), 6.17-6.27 (m, 1H), 6.45 (d, 1H,  $J = 15.8$  Hz), 7.25-7.37 (m, 5H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 75 MHz)  $\delta$  24.68, 25.40, 25.80, 26.72, 34.60, 48.06, 52.35, 66.55, 76.35, 79.50, 109.85, 125.20, 126.34, 127.45, 128.74, 133.21, 137.57, 184.58; MS  $m/z$  361 ( $\text{M}^+$ ); Anal. calcd. for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{27}\text{NO}_3\text{S}$ : C, 66.45; H, 7.53; found: C, 66.50; H, 7.31.

#### Adduct 28

Stannane **19a** was converted to **28** according to the general cross-coupling procedure in the indicated yield (Table 2).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  1.36 (s, 3H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.61-1.73 (m, 2H), 1.90-1.98 (m, 2H), 2.05-2.12 (m, 2H), 2.28-2.34 (m, 2H), 2.38-2.61 (m, 2H), 2.79 (t, 2H,  $J = 4.5$  Hz), 3.49-3.63 (m, 2H), 3.62 (s, 3H), 3.66-3.67 (m, 3H), 3.70-3.75 (m, 2H), 3.90-4.20 (m, 2H), 4.26-4.36 (m, 1H), 5.01-5.15 (m, 1H), 5.60-5.72 (m, 1H), 5.81-5.83 (m, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 75 MHz)  $\delta$  24.71, 24.98, 25.86, 26.54, 26.88, 27.44, 28.56, 28.99, 35.10, 46.24, 47.40, 51.63, 69.63, 74.29, 109.76, 123.75, 126.06, 174.45, 207.58; MS  $m/z$  399 ( $\text{M}^+$ ); Anal. calcd. for  $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{31}\text{NO}_5\text{S}$ : C, 59.19; H, 8.10; found: C, 59.25; H, 8.18.

#### Adduct 30

Stannane **19a** was converted to **30** according to the general cross-coupling procedure in the indicated yield (Table 2).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 300 MHz)  $\delta$  1.37 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.89-2.00 (m, 4H), 2.85 (dd, 1H,  $J = 4.4, 17.3$  Hz), 3.02 (dd, 1H,  $J = 5.1, 17.3$  Hz), 3.50-3.67 (m, 2H), 3.70-3.76 (m, 2H), 4.05 (dd, 1H,  $J = 5.6, 8.5$  Hz), 4.10 (dd, 1H,  $J = 6.6, 8.5$  Hz), 4.51 (q, 1H,  $J = 6.3$  Hz), 5.61-5.67 (m, 1H), 7.26-7.31 (m, 3H), 7.36-7.40 (m, 2H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 75 MHz)  $\delta$  22.06, 24.73, 25.44, 25.81, 26.82, 48.18, 52.37, 66.61, 75.70, 77.55, 82.86, 85.16, 109.90, 123.74, 128.09, 128.46, 131.77, 184.11; MS  $m/z$  345 ( $\text{M}^+$ ); Anal. calcd. for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{25}\text{NO}_5\text{S}$ : C, 66.82; H, 7.01; found: C, 66.77; H, 7.20.

### Adduct 32

Stannane **19a** was converted to **32** according to the general cross-coupling procedure in the indicated yield (Table 2).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 300 MHz)  $\delta$  1.37 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.85-1.96 (m, 4H), 2.31-2.35 (m, 2H), 2.34 (t, 2H,  $J = 6.7$  Hz), 3.50 (t, 2H,  $J = 6.5$  Hz), 3.70-3.74 (m, 1H), 4.11-4.14 (m, 1H), 4.65 (q, 1H,  $J = 7.0$  Hz), 5.31-5.34 (m, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 75 MHz)  $\delta$  13.81, 14.22, 18.93, 21.34, 22.44, 24.74, 25.41, 25.79, 26.76, 28.84, 31.25, 48.07, 52.28, 66.44, 75.64, 77.79, 82.83, 109.72, 184.20; MS  $m/z$  339 ( $\text{M}^+$ ); Anal. calcd. for  $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{31}\text{NO}_5\text{S}$ : C, 64.55; H, 8.84; found: C, 64.66; H, 8.93.

### Adduct 34

Stannane **19a** was converted to **34** according to the general cross-coupling procedure in the indicated yield (Table 2).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 300 MHz)  $\delta$  1.34 (s, 3H), 1.38 (s, 3H), 1.90-1.97 (m, 4H), 2.35-2.42 (m, 2H), 2.71 (t, 2H,  $J = 7.8$  Hz), 3.46-3.63 (m, 2H), 3.69-3.82 (m, 3H), 3.99 (dd, 1H,  $J = 6.0, 8.4$  Hz), 4.23-4.31 (m, 1H), 5.44-5.53 (m, 1H), 5.79-5.89 (m, 1H), 6.01-6.05 (m, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 75 MHz)  $\delta$  24.74, 25.44, 25.82, 26.54, 34.42, 35.49, 48.06, 52.37, 66.08, 77.68, 80.03, 109.98, 125.17, 126.07, 128.51, 128.75, 135.52, 141.75, 184.44;  $[\alpha]_{25}^{\text{D}} = -11.93^\circ$  ( $c$  0.62,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); HRMS (CI,  $\text{CH}_4$ ) calcd for  $\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{32}\text{NO}_3\text{S}$  ( $\text{M}^+ + 1$ )  $m/z$  390.2103, found 390.2107.

### Adduct 36

Stannane **20** was converted to **36** according to the general cross-coupling procedure in the indicated yield (Table 2).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  1.36 (s, 3H), 1.41 (s, 3H), 1.79-1.87 (m, 2H), 2.34-2.40 (m, 2H), 2.64 (t, 2H,  $J = 8.0$  Hz), 2.97 (s, 6H), 3.72 (dd, 1H,  $J = 6.8, 8.0$  Hz), 4.12 (dd, 1H,  $J = 6.4, 8.0$  Hz), 4.62-4.68 (m, 1H), 5.33-5.40 (m, 1H), 7.14-7.20 (m, 3H), 7.23-7.28 (m, 2H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  26.07, 26.89, 29.79, 34.79, 35.43, 37.03, 69.62, 74.20, 91.90, 98.10, 109.83, 125.95, 128.50, 128.73, 142.38, 166.36, 207.79;  $[\alpha]_{25}^{\text{D}} = -5.32^\circ$  ( $c$  2.05,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); HRMS (CI,  $\text{CH}_4$ ) calcd for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{28}\text{NO}_3\text{S}$  ( $\text{M}^+ + 1$ )  $m/z$  362.1790, found 362.1788.

### Adduct 38

Stannane **19a** was converted to **38** according to the general cross-coupling procedure in the indicated yield (Table 2). IR (neat) 1733, 1663, 1366, 1250, 1216, 1163, 1056  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  1.24-1.32 (m, 4H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.40 (s, 3H), 1.42-1.53 (m, 2H), 1.54-1.62 (m, 2H), 1.81-1.97 (m, 4H), 2.24-2.34 (m, 4H), 3.32 (t, 2H,  $J = 6.8$  Hz), 3.48 (t, 2H,  $J = 6.8$  Hz), 3.62 (s, 3H), 3.70 (dd, 1H,  $J = 6.8, 8.4$  Hz), 4.10 (dd, 1H,  $J = 6.0, 8.4$  Hz), 4.59-4.65 (m, 1H), 5.28-7.32 (m, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  24.74, 25.10, 25.88, 26.04, 26.88, 27.74, 28.76, 29.10, 34.26, 35.11, 46.24, 47.40, 51.63, 69.63, 74.29, 91.68, 98.23, 109.76, 163.76, 163.87, 174.45, 207.58;  $[\alpha]_{25}^{\text{D}} = -11.60^\circ$  ( $c$  1.5,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); HRMS (CI,  $\text{CH}_4$ ) calcd for  $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{34}\text{NO}_5\text{S}$  ( $\text{M}^+ + 1$ )  $m/z$  412.2158, found 412.2153.

### References

- (1) Szantay, C.; Toke, L.; Kolonits, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1966**, *31*, 1447.
- (2) Reddy, Y. K.; Reddy, L. M.; Capdevila, J. H. and Falck, J. R., *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2003**, *13*, 3719.